EXHIBIT 2

			Page 1	
1		UNITED STATES DI		
2		EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION		
4			:	
5		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	: :	
6		Plaintiffs	: :	
7		v.	: No. 1:23-cv-00108	
8		GOOGLE, LLC,	: :	
9		Defendants.	: :	
10 11 12		Friday, Augus	t 18, 2023	
13		Video Deposition o	f COL. JOHN HORNING,	
14		taken at the Law Offices o	f Paul, Weiss,	
		Rifkind, Wharton & Garriso	n LLP, 2001 K St NW,	
15		Washington, DC, beginning	at 9:34 a.m. Eastern	
16		Standard Time, before Ryan	K. Black, Registered	
17		Professional Reporter, Cer	tified Livenote	
18		Reporter and Notary Public	in and for the	
19		District of Columbia		
20 21 22 23				
24 25	Job No.	CS6060378		

	Page 2		Page 4
1 2	APPEARANCES:	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
3	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	2	We're going on the record at 9:34 on August 18th,
4	ANTITRUST DIVISION BY: JIMMY MCBIRNEY, ESQ.	3	2023. Please note that the microphones are
-	CHASE PRITCHETT, ESQ.	4	sensitive and may pick up whispering and private
5	ALVIN CHU, ESQ. MARK SOSNOWSKY, ESQ Via Zoom	5	conversations. Please mute your phones at this
6	KATHERINE CLEMONS, ESQ - Via Zoom	6	time. Audio and video recording will continue to
7	450 5th Street, N.W Washington, DC 20530	7	take place unless all parties agree to go
′	202.514.2414	8	off the record.
8	jimmy.mcbirney@usdoj.gov chase.pritchett@usdoj.gov	9	This is Media Unit 1 of the
9	alvin.chu@usdoj.gov	10	video-recorded deposition of Colonel John Horning
10	mark.sosnowsky@usdoj.gov	11	in the matter of United States, et al., v. Google
10 11	katherine.clemons@usdoj.gov Representing - The United States of America	12	LLC. The location of the deposition is Paul
12		13	Weiss.
13	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP, BY: MARTHA L. GOODMAN, ESQ.	14	My name is Glenn Fortner, representing
14	LEAH HIBBLER, ESQ.	15	Veritext, and I'm the videographer. The court
15	2001 K St NW, Washington, DC	16	reporter is Ryan Black from the firm Veritext.
	202.223.7341	17	I'm not related to any party in this action, nor
16	mgoodman@paulweiss.com lhibbler@paulweiss.com	18	am I financially interested in the outcome.
17	•	19	
18	Representing - Google LLC	20	If there are any objections to
19			proceeding, please state them at the time of your
20 21		21	appearance. Counsel and all present, including
22		22	remotely, will now state their appearances and
23 24	ALSO PRESENT: Glenn Fortner - Legal Videographer	23	affiliations for the record beginning with the
	Major Mohamed Al-Darsani - United States Army	24	noticing attorney.
25	Edwin Farley - USDOJ Intern	25	MS. GOODMAN: Martha Goodman, from the
1	Page 3 INDEX		Page 5
2	TESTIMONY OF: COL. JOHN HORNING PAGE	1	law firm Paul Weiss, on behalf of Google LLC.
3	By Ms. Goodman	2	I'm joined by my colleague Leah Hibbler.
4	By Mr. McBirney245	3	MR. MCBIRNEY: Jimmy McBirney, with the
5	EXHIBITS	4	Unites Staes Department of Justice, on behalf of
6	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	5	the United States and the witness.
7	Exhibit 61 a privilege log dated June 26th,	6	MR. PRITCHETT: Chase Pritchett, on
0	2023, provided by the United	7	behalf of the United States.
8 9	States DOJ11 Exhibit 62 a document Bates Numbered	8	MR. CHU: Alvin Chu on behalf of the
′	ARMY-ADS336340 through 336638154	9	United States.
10		10	MR. SOSNOWSKY: Mark Sosnowsky on behalf
	Exhibit 63 a document Bates Numbered	11	of the United States.
11	ARMY-ADS329948 through 329970165	12	MAJOR AL-DARSANI: Moe Al-Darsani,
12	Exhibit 64 a document Bates Numbered	13	United States Army.
1.2	ARMY-ADS187047 through 187077211	14	MR. FARLEY: Edwin Farley, United
13		15	States.
14 15		16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Will the court
16		17	reporter please swear in the witness and then
17		18	counsel may proceed.
18		19	MR. CHU: Oh, also, just to let you
		20	know, I have Katherine Clemons she'll be
19			
20		21	from the DOJ that will also joining in and out.
20 21		21 22	from the DOJ that will also joining in and out. * * *
20 21 22			Ţ Ţ
20 21		22	* * *

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

	Page 6		Page 8
1	or affirmed, was examined and testified as	1	that.
2	follows:	2	Q. Have you ever requested legal advice
3	* * *	3	from the Department of Justice Antitrust
4	EXAMINATION	4	Division?
5	BY MS. GOODMAN:	5	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Calls for
6	Q. Good morning, Colonel Horning.	6	privileged information. Instruct the witness not
7	A. Good morning.	7	to answer.
8	Q. Have you been deposed before?	8	MS. GOODMAN: You're asking him the
9	A. I have not.	9	information that would appear on a privilege log
10	Q. Do you understand your purpose here	10	with a request for legal advice that's required
11	today is to provide truthful and accurate	11	for you as the privilege the party asserting a
12	testimony to the best of your testimony and	12	privilege to establish the proprietary of the
13	knowledge?	13	privilege and meet your burden of proof and
14	A. I do.	14	persuasion that the privilege applies, you're
15	Q. Is there any reason you cannot do that	15	instructing him not to answer that question?
16	today?	16	MR. MCBIRNEY: You are asking the
17	A. No.	17	witness whether he has requested legal advice
18	Q. Okay. Because the court reporter is	18	from the Department of Justice Antitrust
19	writing everything down, it's important that we	19	Division?
20	not talk over one another, so please let me	20	MS. GOODMAN: Yeah.
21	finish my question before you begin your answer.	21	MR. MCBIRNEY: You can answer that yes
22	Okay?	22	or no.
23	A. Okay.	23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	Q. And because he's again taking a written	24	BY MS. GOODMAN:
25	transcript, the you have to speak verbally as	25	Q. To what extent has anybody at the
	Page 7		Page 9
1	opposed to with sounds like uh-huh or huh-uh	1	Department of Justice ever asked you to provide
2	so that it can be accurately reflected in the	2	information about the Army's advertising
3	transcript. Okay?	3	business?
4	A. I understand.	4	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Privileged.
5	Q. If you don't understand my question,	5	Instruct the witness not to answer.
6	please let me know. Okay?	6	BY MS. GOODMAN:
7	A. Yes.	7	Q. Are you going to follow that
8	Q. Otherwise I assume you'll understand.	8	instruction, sir?
9	Okay?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. Yes.	10	Q. Okay. When did you first have any
11	Q. In the normal course of your work, do	11	conversations with anybody at the Department of
12	you consider the Department of Justice Antitrust	12	Justice Antitrust Division?
13	Division to be your counsel?	13	A. As best that I can recall, our first
14	A. I'm not sure that I'm qualified to	14	interaction would have been in early spring of
15	answer, within the legal constructs of the U.S.	15	2023 or late winter. I I can't recall the
16	government, who our actual counsel is or is not.	16	specific date.
17	Q. I'm not asking for you to provide a	17	Q. So sometime between late winter of what
18	legal opinion. I'm asking for your personal	18	year?
19	understanding and your considerations, your	19	A. 2023.
20	personal opinions. So do you consider the	20	Q. Okay. And early spring of 2023?
21	Department of Justice Antitrust Division to be	21	A. Correct.
22	your counsel in the normal course of your work	22	Q. Okay. What was your understanding
	A. I don't believe that I have a personal	23	of the reason for your conversations with the
23	11. I don't believe that I have a personal		
23 24	opinion on who our counsel is. I only know	24	Department of Justice Antitrust Division?

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

1 2 3	privileged information. Instruct the witness not to answer.	1 2	Q. I'm sorry. I meant Page 11.
	to answer.	2	4 01
3		2	A. Okay.
	BY MS. GOODMAN:	3	Q. It's line entry 23 on Page 11.
4	Q. Are you following that instruction?	4	A. Okay.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. So one, two, three, four, five columns
6	Q. Has the Department of Justice ever	6	over, you're listed in the To column. Do you see
7	requested information about digital advertising	7	that?
8	purchases by the United States Army?	8	A. I do.
9	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Calls for	9	Q. And do you see the date in the few-more
10	privileged information. Instruct the witness not	10	columns over of January 5th, 2023?
11	to answer.	11	A. Okay.
12	BY MS. GOODMAN:	12	Q. Does that refresh your recollection of
13	Q. Are you following that instruction?	13	the time period where you first had conversations
14	A. Yes.	14	with the Department of Justice Antitrust
15	Q. Do you in the course of your work,	15	Division?
16	do you routine do you field requests for	16	A. Can you help me understand what it is
17	information from the Department of Justice on	17	I'm actually looking at here?
18	an ordinary basis?	18	Q. Yeah. So this is what's called a
19	A. I do not.	19	privilege log.
20	Q. Are you aware of anybody else within	20	A. I'm not familiar with what one of those
21	the AEMO who re regularly fields requests for	21	are.
22	information from the Department of Justice?	22	Q. Okay. A privilege log is a
23	A. I'm not personally aware of anything	23	document that parties are required to provide
24	like that.	24	to the opposing side when they're asserting
25	MS. GOODMAN: I'm marking Exhibit 61, a	25	attorney-client or attorney work product or other
	Page 11		Page 13
1	privilege log dated June 26th, 2023, provided by	1	privilege over communications
2	the United States in this litigation. I'm	2	A. Okay.
3	handing it to the witness.	3	Q that they are not providing to
4	(Exhibit No. 61, a privilege log dated	4	the other side in litigation. So that's what a
5	June 26th, 2023, provided by the United States	5	privilege log is. And so by virtue of this
6	DOJ, was introduced.)	6	entry, on Line 23 the United States is asserting
7	BY MS. GOODMAN:	7	a privilege, as described in the last column,
8	Q. Now, Colonel Horning, this is not a	8	A. Okay.
9	document I would normally show a percipient	9	Q over your communication with
10	witness, but I'm essentially hamstrung and must		Mr. Wessels and others
11	do so here today for reasons that don't pertain	11	A. Okay.
12	to you, per se. But I would like you to turn to	12	Q listed on this page.
13	Page 11 of this document.	13	A. Okay.
14	Let me know when you're there.	14	Q. Do you understand now?
15	A. Okay. I am on Page 11.	15	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Assumes facts
16	Q. Okay. And if you look back at Page 1,	16	not in evidence. Form of the question.
17	actually, you see there is a heading at the top	17	BY MS. GOODMAN:
18	that indicate what each of the columns are.	18	Q. Do you understand do you have an
19	A. Okay.	19	appropriate understanding now of what a privilege
20	Q. Okay. So you see that in the, one, two,	20	log is?
	three, four, five fifth column over on Page	21	A. I do understand what this document is
21	=	22	now.
21 22	23. which is the To column your name is	1.7.	
22	23, which is the To column, your name is listed		
	23, which is the To column, your name is listed A. On? I'm sorry. Could you say what page	23	Q. Okay. So having now looked at this document and understanding what it is, does it

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

	Page 14		Page 16
1	timing of your conversations with the Antitrust	1	Q. What was your understanding of the
2	Division?	2	purpose of the interview?
3	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection; foundation,	3	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Calls for
4	and to form.	4	privileged information. Instruct the witness not
5	THE WITNESS: It does not refresh my	5	to answer.
6	recollection, but I have no reason to believe	6	BY MS. GOODMAN:
7	this is not true.	7	Q. Are you following that instruction?
8	BY MS. GOODMAN:	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And you see in the column next to	9	Q. What facts strike that.
10	the date, which is the subject if you look	10	At the time reflected here on this
11	back at Page 1, you can see that that is the	11	log,
12	subject column.	12	A. Mm-hmm.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q January 5th, 2023, were you aware of
14	Q. Okay. Can you read the subject to me	14	any anticompetitive conduct on the part of Google
15	here?	15	affecting the Army's advertising
16	A. The subject on Item 23 of the privilege	16	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection.
17	log says, brackets, "external DOJ-Army interview	17	BY MS. GOODMAN:
18	on Google-Meta advertising products used by DOD."	18	Q practices?
19	Q. Okay. Do you recall who was interviewed	19	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Calls for a
20	who at the Army was interviewed on Google-Meta	20	legal conclusion.
21	advertising products used by DOD on or around	21	BY MS. GOODMAN:
22	this date of January 5th, 2023?	22	Q. You may answer.
23	MR. MCBIRNEY: You can answer that yes	23	A. I'm not sure that I have am
24	or no.	24	qualified to know or would have been made
25	THE WITNESS: I do not recall.	25	available any infor or would have had any
	Page 15		Page 17
1	BY MS. GOODMAN:	1	information available to me on that topic.
2	Q. Okay. Do you recall yourself being	2	Q. Around this time of January 5th, 2023,
3	interviewed on this topic?	3	were you aware of any conduct on the part of
4	A. I recall being interviewed, but I do not	4	Google that was causing the Army to pay prices
5	recall that this was the date for it.	5	for advertising that were too high?
6	Q. Okay. Do you recall who interviewed	6	A. I had not been made aware of anything
7	you?	7	like that at the time frame that you're asking.
8	A. I only recall I didn't there was	8	Q. Okay. How about prior to the time frame
9	likely more than one person. I only recall one	9	that I'm asking?
10	by name.	10	A. Not that I can recall, no.
11	Q. Who do you recall by name?	11	Q. Okay. What's your understanding of the
12	A. Mr. Chase Pritchett.	12	word anticompetitive?
13	Q. Okay. How long did the interview last?	13	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Calls for
14	A. I can't be certain. I think it was,	14	legal conclusion, and foundation.
15	likely, 60 to 90 minutes, perhaps.	15	THE WITNESS: I only know the common
16	Q. And this is a yes or no question: Did	16	language terminology. I don't understand the
17	the United States Antitrust Division lawyers	17	actual legal definitions or implications.
18	present explain to you the purpose of the	18	Anticompetitive: Not competitive.
19	interview?	19	BY MS. GOODMAN:
20	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Calls for	20	Q. So what is your common language
21	privileged communication. Instruct the witness	21	understanding of the word anticompetitive?
	not to answer.	22	A. I understand it in the context of
22			
	BY MS. GOODMAN:	23	business practices meaning not adhering to a
22	BY MS. GOODMAN: Q. Are you following that instruction?	23 24	business practices meaning not adhering to a competitive, fair practice.

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

	Page 246		Page 248
1	for information relevant to this lawsuit?	1	3 1 37 11
2	A. I did not.	2	jimmy.mcbirney@usdoj.gov
3	MS. GOODMAN: I reserve the remainder of	3	August 21, 2023
4	my time for this deposition based on the improper		RE: United States, Et Al v. Google, LLC
5	privilege assertions made at the outset of the	5	8/18/2023, John Horning (#6060378)
6	deposition. So I close the dep I'm holding	6	The above-referenced transcript is available for
7	the deposition open.	7	review.
8	MR. MCBIRNEY: Can I get a time check?	8	Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are at 5:55	9	read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are
10	minutes.	10	any changes, the witness should note those with the
11	MR. MCBIRNEY: Okay. The government	11	reason, on the attached Errata Sheet.
12	does not agree with your position that the	12	The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of
13	deposition should remain open, but we understand	13	Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney.
14	your position.	14	Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at
15	MS. GOODMAN: Okay.	15	erratas-cs@veritext.com
16	MR. MCBIRNEY: Off the record.	16	
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anything else for the	17	Return completed errata within 30 days from
18	record?	18	receipt of testimony.
19	MS. GOODMAN: Thank you, Colonel.	19	If the witness fails to do so within the time
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.	20	allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of	21	
22	the deposition of Colonel John Horning. We're	22	Yours,
23	going off the record at 1753.	23	Veritext Legal Solutions
24	(Deposition concluded 5:53 p.m.)	24	
25	` '	25	
	Page 247		Page 249
1	CERTIFICATE	1	United States, Et Al v. Google, LLC
2	CERTIFICATE		John Horning (#6060378)
3	I do hereby certify that I am a Notary	3	ERRATA SHEET
4	Public in good standing, that the aforesaid		PAGELINECHANGE
5	testimony was taken before me, pursuant to	5	TAGECHANGE
6	notice, at the time and place indicated; that		REASON
7	said deponent was by me duly sworn to tell the		PAGE LINE CHANGE
8	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	_	
9	truth; that the testimony of said deponent was		DEACON
10	correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me and		REASONPAGELINECHANGE
11	thereafter transcribed under my supervision with		
12	computer-aided transcription; that the deposition		DE A COM
13	is a true and correct record of the testimony		REASON
14	given by the witness; and that I am neither of		PAGELINECHANGE
15	counsel nor kin to any party in said action, nor		DE LOOV
16	interested in the outcome thereof.		REASON
17			PAGELINECHANGE
18	WITNESS my hand and official seal this		
19	21st day c		REASON
20	Caral RC		PAGELINECHANGE
21	Jean K. Han.		
			REASON
22	Notary Public	22	
23			
24 25			John Horning Date

63 (Pages 246 - 249)